

The diet of Gull-billed Tern *Gelochelidon nilotica* breeding in the Po Delta (NE Italy)

Roberto G. Valle^{1*}, Francesco Fava²

Abstract - The present study provides the first data on the diet of Gull-billed Terns (*Gelochelidon nilotica*) nesting in the Po Delta. A total of 445 prey items were found in pellets collected on a colony in a fish farm on June 11, 2018. Pellets contained mostly *Invertebrata* (403 items), of which the majority were *Insecta* (50.8%, mainly *Orthoptera*: 22.7%) and, to a lesser extent, *Crustacea* (22%, exclusively Red Swamp Crayfish *Procambarus clarkii*) and *Mollusca* (17.8%, mostly *Gastropoda*: 16.2%), whereas *Vertebrata* accounted for only 9.4% (42 items, mostly *Amphibia*: 8.3%). Gull-billed Terns nesting in the Po Delta follow a diet with a predominance of *Insecta*, but with significant shares of *Crustacea* and *Mollusca* and, to a lesser extent, *Amphibia*, thus confirming the flexibility of the species in adapting to the prey availability of the foraging area.

Key words: breeding, food, foraging, *Gelochelidon nilotica*, Gull-billed Tern, Po Delta.

Riassunto - La dieta della Sterna zampenere *Gelochelidon nilotica* nidificante nel Delta del Po.

Il presente studio fornisce i primi dati sull'alimentazione della sterna zampenere nidificante nel Delta del Po. Sono stati rinvenuti 445 frammenti di prede da borre raccolte in una colonia monospecifica in data 11 giugno 2018. Le borre contenevano principalmente invertebrati (403 frammenti), di cui la massima parte insetti (50,8% dei frammenti totali, principalmente ortotteri: 22,7%) ed in misura minore crostacei (22%, esclusivamente gambero della Louisiana *Procambarus clarkii*) e molluschi (17,8%, principalmente gasteropodi: 16,2%), mentre i vertebrati rappresentavano solo il 9,4% (42 items, principalmente anfibi: 8,3%). Le Sterne zampenere nidificanti nel Delta del Po seguono una dieta varia con una preminenza di insetti, ma con quote significative di crostacei e molluschi ed in misura minore di anfibi, confermando così la flessibilità della specie nell'adattarsi alla disponibilità trofica dell'area di nidificazione.

Parole chiave: alimentazione, biologia riproduttiva, Delta del Po, *Gelochelidon nilotica*.

¹Independent researcher, Venice, Italy.

²Independent researcher, Cavarzere, Italy.

* Corresponding author: robertovalle@libero.it

© 2025 Roberto G. Valle, Francesco Fava

Received for publication: 8 September 2024

Accepted for publication: 4 December 2024

Online publication: 20 December 2024

INTRODUCTION

The Gull-billed Tern is a colonial *Charadriiform* species with a worldwide distribution, with a breeding range including all five continents, being found breeding in Europe, Asia, Africa, the Americas, and Oceania (del Hoyo *et al.*, 1996). The global population has been estimated at 150,000-420,000 individuals (Delany & Scott, 2006), of which 6600-21,200 pairs have been ascribed to the European contingent (BirdLife International, 2024). Six subspecies have been identified, the nominate *G. n. nilotica* inhabiting Europe and African breeding grounds (Sánchez *et al.*, 2004), including Italy, with an estimated population of around 500 pairs (EIONET, 2021).

The Gull-billed Tern has been studied in detail with regard to various aspects of reproductive biology in different nesting areas across its breeding range: from the United States to Russia to south-central Europe to the Mediterranean (Borodulina, 1960; Møller, 1982; Costa, 1984; Cramp, 1985; Cabo & Sanchez, 1986; Bogliani *et al.*, 1990; Quinn & Wiggins, 1990; Goutner, 1991; Sánchez *et al.*, 1991; Ataei *et al.*, 2014; Bertolero & Rivaes, 2015; Britto *et al.*, 2018; Scarton & Valle, 2020; Wu *et al.*, 2020; Hering *et al.*, 2021; Valle & Scarton, 2021 Goodenough *et al.*, 2023). The species has shown remarkable flexibility in nesting site selection using brackish water, freshwater, and marine areas (Møller, 1982; Quinn & Wiggins, 1990; Sánchez *et al.*, 2004). Feeding habits have also been thoroughly investigated in several populations located in many parts of the world, including Europe, Africa, Asia, and North America (see Cramp, 1985, for a review). This also applies to Italy, where the population inhabiting the Valli di Comacchio wetland has been investigated in terms of feeding habits (Bogliani *et al.*, 1990). Nevertheless, the species is known for showing a wide spectrum of feeding and foraging habits across its range (see Cramp, 1985, for a review), so data from different breeding areas are strongly needed.

The present note extends the knowledge of the species' diet with a contribution concerning the population nesting in the Po Delta, with an analysis of prey items from pellets collected in a colony located on a fish farm.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fieldwork was conducted in a fish farm of the Po Delta (45°09' N, 12°20' E - 44°47' N, 12°24' E), during a wider project aiming at monitoring breeding waterbirds in the north-western Adriatic wetlands. Apart from the Po River and its branches, the Po Delta is characterized by several

shallow brackish lagoons separated from the mainland by 24 fish farms and 30 barrier islands. Large areas of agricultural land are present, whereas anthropic presence is scarce. In a 5-km radius around our study colony, agricultural lands account for 50% of the whole area, fish farms for 35%, the Po River course for 8.6%, open lagoon for 1.7%, and urban areas for 1.6%. In addition, there are ditches for tens of kilometers. The community of breeding gulls and terns of the Po Delta is one of the largest in the Mediterranean, with ca. 7000 pairs in 2015-2018 (Valle & Verza, 2020). In the last decade, 200-600 Gull-billed Tern pairs were found in the Po Delta (Valle & Verza, 2020). Due to its importance for birds, the area is listed as a Special Protection Area (IT3270023 – Delta del Po) under the European Union 147/09 Birds Directive. As an inexpensive and non-invasive way to monitor tern diet, we collected regurgitated pellets during the early chick phase (June 11, 2018), during a visit aimed at assessing the hatching success of a colony of 380 pairs. We analyzed the composition of 60 grams of pellets. These pellets were shredded using entomological tweezers, yielding 445 fragments attributable to a defined taxon (corresponding to 45% of the total mass of pellets examined). The number of food items was estimated based on singular or paired body parts of prey. Simpson's Diversity Index was calculated to compare our population with those of other breeding areas (Simpson, 1949).

Tab. 1 – Diet of Gull-billed Terns *Gelochelidon nilotica* on Po Delta (NE Italy), according from pellet analysis at early chick stage (June 11st 2018). Numbers/percentages refer to a total of 445 prey items. / Dieta della Sterna zampenere *Gelochelidon nilotica* nidificante nel Delta del Po, in base all'analisi delle borre raccolte nel periodo immediatamente successivo alla schiusa dei pulli (11 giugno 2018). I numeri e le percentuali si riferiscono a un totale di 445 prede.

Taxa/Family/Genus/Species	Number	Percentage
INSECTA	226	50.78
<i>Coleoptera</i>	80	17.98
Scarabeidae	80	17.98
Cetoniinae	47	10.56
Melolonthinae	33	7.42
Orthoptera	101	22.7
Ensifera	90	20.22
<i>Gryllotalpa gryllotalpa</i>	46	10.34
Tettigoniidae	44	9.89
Caelifera	11	2.47
Acrididae	11	2.47
Unidentified <i>Insecta</i>	45	10.11
CRUSTACEA	98	22.02
<i>Procambarus clarkii</i>	98	22.02
OSTEICHTHYES	5	1.12
AMPHIBIA	37	8.31
Anura	37	8.31
GASTEROPODA	72	16.18
BIVALVIA	7	1.57

RESULTS

A total of 445 prey items have been identified from 60 g of pellets (Tab. 1). The main prey was invertebrates (403 items), whereas vertebrates accounted for only 9.4% of prey items. Among invertebrates, the majority were insects (50.8%, mainly *Orthoptera*: 22.7%) (Fig. 1). Mole Cricket *Gryllotalpa gryllotalpa* was the most frequent species found in pellets, totaling 46 items (10.3% of all items). Among insects, the remaining items are distributed among other bush crickets (*Ensifera*) and grasshoppers (*Caelifera*) (12.4%) and *Scarabeidae* coleopters (18%), with *Cetoniinae* and *Melolonthinae* subfamilies accounting for 10.6 and 7.4% respectively. A total of 45 fragments (10.1%) were not ascribable to a determined insect species. Crustaceans accounted for 22% of prey items, Red Swamp Crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* being the only species of this taxon. Mollusks accounted for 17.8% of prey items, mostly the gastropod *Tritia neritea* (16.2%), and the rest were bivalves (1.6%). Among the fragments attributable to vertebrates (9.4%), the abundance of tibio-fibulae and femurs of anur amphibians, probably attributable to the genus *Rana* (L., 1758) or more likely *Pelophylax* (Fitzinger, 1843), stands out.

In comparing our data to other breeding areas (Tab. 2), Simpson's Diversity Index shows a relatively high value (0.35), confirming a diversified diet for Gull-billed Terns foraging in the Po Delta.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The main finding of this study is that Gull-billed Tern breeding in the Po Delta follows a varied diet comprising different taxa. There is a good correspondence between the different habitat representations within the foraging area of our colony (in a 5-km radius around the colony) and the type of prey. In the Po Delta, Gull-billed Terns hunt close to the colonies, up to 4-5 km away, mostly on ditches, small channels, and cultivated fields (Grussu *et al.*, 2019).



Fig. 1 – Gull-billed Terns with a Great Green Bush Cricket *Tettigonia viridissima* (left bird) and a Mole Cricket *Gryllotalpa gryllotalpa* (right bird); Po Delta, June 11th, 2018. / Sterne zampenere con un esemplare di Cavalletta verde *Tettigonia viridissima* (a sinistra) e Grillotalpa *Gryllotalpa gryllotalpa* (a destra), come imbeccata; Delta del Po, 11 giugno 2018.

Fish farms, ditches, and agricultural lands are well represented within the foraging range of our colony and host main prey types of Gull-billed Terns: respectively *Gastropoda*, Red Swamp Crayfish, and Mole Crickets (Figs. 1-3). The prevalent predation of *Orthoptera* observed in the Po Delta, which alone accounted for 22.7% of prey items, is reasonably linked to the high prevalence of Mole Crickets, which also are the main prey of other insectivorous birds in the study area, such as the Eurasian Hoopoe

Upupa epops (Dissette pers. comm.). In other areas, Mole Crickets are far less represented in the diet of Gull-billed Terns: for example, in Alyki (Greece), they accounted only for 2.6-3.7% despite the total *Ensifera* crickets granting a 50-90.3% of the total prey items in the two colonies studied (Goutner, 1991). Red Swamp Crayfish's high frequency in pellets is a finding that deserves a separate comment (Fig. 2). Though non-native species play a major role in the loss of native species, also favorable effects



Fig. 2 – Gull-billed Tern with a Red Swamp Crayfish *Procambarus clarkii*; Po Delta, May 25th, 2023. / Sterna zampenere con un esemplare di Gambero rosso della Louisiana *Procambarus clarkii*, come imbeccata; Delta del Po, 25 Maggio 2023.



Fig. 3 – Gull-billed Tern with a Dark Bush-Cricket *Pholidoptera griseoptera*; Po Delta, June 11th, 2018. / Sterna zampenere con un esemplare di Folidottera cinerea *Pholidoptera griseoptera*, come imbeccata; Delta del Po, 11 giugno 2018.

Tab. 2 – Diet of Gull-billed Terns *Gelochelidon nilotica* on different breeding sites worldwide. Percentage of prey items are reported. / Dieta della Sterna zampenere *Gelochelidon nilotica* nidificante in diverse aree del mondo.

Taxon	Insecta	Crustacea	Actinopterygii	Amphibia	Mollusca	Aves	Reptilia	Mammalia	Simpson D
ITA1	50.8	22.0	1.1	8.3	17.8	0	0	0	0.35
ITA2	17.1	0	11.4	1.6	0	0.3	66.9	2.7	0.49
ESP1	78.5	13.0	0.5	6.5	0	1.5	0	0	0.64
ESP2	18.1	69.2	6.5	3.2	0.1	0.4	2.5	0	0.52
ESP3	99.5	0	0	0.1	0.3	0.1	0	0	0.99
ESP4	52.8	42.8	0.9	3.5	0	0	0	0	0.46
GRC1	98.0	0	0	0	1.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.96
GRC2	39.3	53.3	1.2	5.8	0.4	0	0	0	0.44
GRC3	89.0	5.9	0.2	3.9	0.3	0	0.4	0.3	0.80
UKR	42.0	0	25.0	24.0	0	1	7.0	1	0.30
MOR	51.4	0	0	48.6	0	0	0	0	0.50
EGY	97.9	0	1.9	0	0	0.1	0.1	0	0.96
RUS	48.0	11.0	0	0	0	0	26.0	10.0	0.32
FRA	66.3	0	0	16.6	0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.47
DNK	48.0	17.0	9.3	9.3	0	7.4	8.4	17.8	0.32

DNK, Møller, 1982; EGY, Hering *et al.*, 2021; ESP1, Bertolero & Rivaes, 2016; ESP2, Bertolero & Rivaes, 2016; ESP3, Sánchez *et al.*, 1991; ESP4, Costa, 1984; FRA, Møller, 1982; GRC1, Goutner, 1991; GRC2, Goutner, 1991; GRC3, Goutner, 1991; ITA1, this study; ITA2, Bogliani *et al.*, 1990; MOR, Cabo & Sanchez, 1986; RUS, Borodulina, 1960; UKR, Zubakin & Kostin, 1977.

have been reported for the Red Swamp Crayfish, which represents a food resource for many animal species, including fish, reptiles, birds, and mammals (Correia, 2001). Birds preying on the Red Swamp Crayfish are numerous and include many waterbird species (Giordano & Battisti, 2023). We have no explanation for the low representation of fish in the diet of our population, given the common presence of these in the brackish water shallows surrounding the nesting site. We rarely observed Gull-billed Terns feeding in these large shallows, despite them being at close distance from the colony. Similar behavior has been reported for the nearby population of the Valli di Comacchio wetland (Bogliani *et al.*, 1990). On the other hand, fish are rarely a relevant source of prey items for the species (see Cramp, 1985, for a review).

The diet pattern of Gull-billed Terns of the Po Delta is congruent with the feeding habits of the species reported for species worldwide (Tab. 2). Prey types widely vary across the world, due to the wide variety of foraging habitats, but Gull-billed Terns mostly feed on terrestrial vertebrates and invertebrates (see Cramp, 1985, for a review). The relative frequency of the various taxa in the diet of the Gull-billed Terns of the Po Delta lies between the average values of the range reported in the literature for worldwide populations, with the exception of mollusks, which is the highest up to found (Tab. 2). The reason for this probably lies in the high frequency of the species found in the pellets of Gull-billed Terns (*Tritia neritea*) in the waterbodies surrounding the colony under study. Birds were seen pecking these *Gasteropoda* directly from the water while resting on the shores of the colony site (pers. obs.). Likewise, the difference between the diet of our study in the Po Delta (where Gull-billed Terns feed mainly on *Orthoptera*) and that found in the nearby (50 km) Valli di Comacchio wetland (where the species fed almost exclusively on lizards: Ruin Lizard *Podarcis sicula*; Bogliani *et al.*, 1990) is striking and deserves further investigations. On the other hand, in other areas of the north-western Adriatic coastline, the species was reported foraging on a wide spectrum of prey, including both vertebrates and invertebrates, using terrestrial habitat, with sex differences in foraging behavior (Scridel *et al.*, 2023).

These differences in food type patterns between the Po Delta and some of the other nesting areas should not be surprising, since it is in accordance with the known flexibility of the species in terms of both feeding and foraging habits (see Cramp, 1985, for a review). Significant differences in both prey types and hunting/fishing environments have been widely reported, both within different parts of the world and at the level of colonies located a short distance from each other (Tab. 2). This relates both to differences in the type of the available habitats within the foraging range of the species (<10 km; Fasola & Bogliani, 1990) and differential exploitation of the latter due to inter- and intra-colony variations (Goutner, 1991; Quinn & Wiggins, 1990; respectively). In addition, some populations have shown a much more specific prey selection, such as in the nearby Valli di Comacchio wetland (lizards *Podarcis sp.*; Bogliani *et al.*, 1990) or Greek wetlands (crabs *Carcinus sp.*; Goutner, 1991). Some populations in Spain (Fuente de Piedra) were even reported as almost exclusively (>99%) specialized in insects (Sánchez *et al.*, 1991).

Anyway, comparisons must be taken with great caution since over- or underestimates may result from the different methods used, some of which were reported to overestimate the proportion of insects (Bogliani *et al.*, 1990). Another limitation in comparing studies conducted in different nesting areas is the significant difference in results produced between indirect methods (such as the analysis of prey remains found around the nests) and direct methods (identification of prey brought by adults to chicks), as demonstrated by Bogliani *et al.* (1994), for another tern species, the Little Tern (*Sternula albifrons*).

This study has two main limitations. The main one resides in the nature of some of the prey items (such as Crayfish claws and Mole Cricket forelegs), which are unmistakable and very tough, resulting in a possible overestimation of numbers in the pellets. This can be generalized to the whole spectrum of prey ingested by chicks: the digestion rate of different prey and, therefore, the amount of remains found in pellets can change. For example, a prey with many soft parts and few indigestible parts will leave fewer remains and will risk being underestimated in the diet estimate compared to a prey with a skeleton or chitinous covering (Bogliani *et al.*, 1994). A second limitation is that the latter were collected on a single visit, with the consequent possible bias related to possible changes in prey availability in the following weeks.

In conclusion, the present work provides the first data on the feeding habits of the Gull-billed Tern breeding in the Po Delta, showing a predominance of insects in the bird's diet, but with significant shares of crustaceans and mollusks, and to a lesser extent, amphibians. This confirms the known flexibility of the species in adapting to the trophic availability of the nesting area.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study is financed by the authors. We are grateful to the Editor, Giuseppe Bogliani, whose comments have helped us improve the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Ataei F., Etezadifar F., Sharikabad V. N. & Barati A., 2014 – Nestling growth and nest success of Gull-billed Tern *Gelochelidon nilotica* (Aves: Sternidae) in western Iran. *Italian Journal of Zoology*, 81: 425-430.
- Bertolero A. & Rivaes S., 2015 – Synthèse des connaissances sur le régime alimentaire de la Sterne Hansel *Gelochelidon nilotica* en région méditerranéenne. *Revue d'Écologie*, 70: 83-90.
- BirdLife International, 2024 – Species factsheet: common Gull-billed Tern *Gelochelidon nilotica*. <<https://datazone.birdlife.org/species/factsheet/common-gull-billed-tern-gelochelidon-nilotica>>
- Bogliani G., Fasola M., Canova L. & Saino N., 1990 – Food and foraging rhythm of a specialized Gull-billed Tern population *Gelochelidon nilotica*. *Ethology Ecology & Evolution*, 2: 175-181.
- Bogliani G., Fasola M., Canova L., Saino N., 1994 – Prey selection by parents and chicks of the Little Tern *Sterna albifrons*. *Avocetta*, 18: 9-11.
- Borodulina T. L., 1960 – Biology and economic importance of gulls and terns of southern USSR water bodies (1966 translation from Russian). *Israel Program for Scientific Translations*, Jerusalem.
- Britto V. O., Gil-Delgado Alberti J. A., Gosálvez R. U., López Iborra G. M. & Velasco Á., 2018 – Foraging habitat selection by gull-billed tern (*Gelochelidon nilotica*) in Central Spain (Castilla-La Mancha). *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation*, 41: 301-310.

- Cabo J. M. & Sanchez J. M., 1986 – Nouvelles données sur *Gelocheilidon nilotica* au Maroc et sur son régime alimentaire. *Alauda*, 54: 207-212.
- Correia A., 2001 – Seasonal and interspecific evaluation of predation by mammals and birds on the introduced red swamp crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* (Crustacea, Cambaridae) in a freshwater marsh (Portugal). *Journal of Zoology, London*, 255: 533-541.
- Costa L., 1984 – Alimentación de la Pagaza piconegra (*Gelocheilidon nilotica*) en las marismas del Gualdalquivir, Donana. *Acta Vertebrata*, ii: 185-195.
- Cramp S., 1985 – Handbook of the birds of Europe, the Middle East and North Africa. The birds of the western Palearctic. Vol. IV. *Oxford University Press*, Oxford.
- Del Hoyo J., Elliott A. & Sargatal J., 1996 – Handbook of the birds of the world, vol. 3: Hoatzin to Auks. *Lynx Edicions*, Barcelona.
- Delany S. & Scott D., 2006 – Waterbird population estimates. *Wetlands International*, Wageningen.
- EIONET, 2021 – Reporting under Article 12 of the Birds Directive. Period 2013-2018. <<https://www.eionet.europa.eu>>
- Fasola M. & Bogliani G., 1990 – Foraging ranges of an assemblage of Mediterranean seabirds. *Colonial Waterbirds*, 13: 72-74.
- Fitzinger L. J., 1843 – Systema reptilium: fasciculus primus: Amblyglossae (Vol. 1). *Braumüller & Seidel*.
- Giordano J. & Battisti C., 2023 – The non native Red Swamp Crayfish *Procambarus Clarkii* as prey for waterbirds: a note from Torre Flavia wetland (Central Italy). *Alula*, 30: 194-199.
- Goodenough K. S., Patton R. T. & Lorda J., 2023 – Dietary plasticity mitigates impacts to reproduction for the Gull-billed Tern *Gelocheilidon nilotica* during abnormally warm sea surface temperature events in California, USA. *Waterbirds*, 45: 450-460.
- Goutner V., 1991 – Food and feeding ecology of Gull-billed terns (*Gelocheilidon nilotica*) in Greece. *Revue d'Écologie*, 46: 373-384.
- Grussu M., Scarton F., Verza E. & Valle R. G., 2019 – Long term trends and breeding parameters of Gull-billed Tern *Gelocheilidon nilotica* in three Italian coastal sites. *Rivista italiana di ornitologia*, 89. DOI: 10.4081/rio.2019.438
- Hering J., Fischer S., Geiter O., Wobker J. & Siegel S., 2021 – Breeding colonies of Gull-billed Tern *Gelocheilidon nilotica* and Little Tern *Sternula albifrons* on Lake Nasser, Egypt. *Sandgrouse*, 43: 194-215.
- Møller A. P., 1982 – Coloniality and colony structure in Gull-billed Terns *Gelocheilidon nilotica*. *J. Ornithology*, 123: 41-53.
- Quinn J. S. & Wiggins D.A., 1990 – Differences in prey delivered to chicks by individual Gull-billed Terns. *Colonial Waterbirds*, 13: 67-69.
- Sánchez J. M., Muñoz del Viejo A. & De la Cruz C., 1991 – Segregación alimentaria entre adultos y pollos de *Gelocheilidon nilotica* (Gm., 1789) en la laguna de Fuente de Piedra. *Ardeola*, 38: 21-27.
- Sánchez J.M., Muñoz Del Viejo A., Corbacho C., Costillo E. & Fuentes C., 2004 – Status and trends of Gull-billed Tern *Gelocheilidon nilotica* in Europe and Africa. *Bird Conservation International*, 14: 335-351.
- Scarton F. & Valle R.G., 2020 – Drone assessment of habitat selection and breeding success of Gull-billed Tern *Gelocheilidon nilotica* nesting on low-accessibility sites: a case study. *Rivista Italiana di Ornitologia*, 90: 69-76.
- Scridel D., Serra L., Pirrello S., Basso M., Franzoi A., Cardillo A., Mengoni C., Ramazzotti F., Verza E., Imperio S. & Cecere J. G., 2023 – Sex-mediated changes in foraging behaviour according to breeding stage in a monomorphic seabird adapted to rural habitats. *Animal Behaviour*, 198: 181-193.
- Simpson E. H., 1949 – Measurement of Diversity. *Nature*, 163.
- Valle R. G. & Verza E., 2020 – I laro-limicoli (*Charadriiformes*) nidificanti nel Delta del Po Veneto (RO) nel periodo 2015-2018. *Bollettino Museo Storia Naturale Venezia*, 71: 123-126.
- Valle R. G. & Scarton, F. 2021 – Monitoring the hatching success of gulls *Laridae* and terns *Sternidae*: a comparison of ground and drone methods. *Acta Ornithologica*, 56: 241-254.
- Wu F., Lei W., Lloyd H. & Zhang Z., 2020 – Predictors of Gull-billed tern (*Gelocheilidon nilotica*) nest survival in artificial coastal salt-pans, Bohai Bay, China. *PeerJ*, 8: e10054.
- Zubakin V. & Kostin Y., 1977 – The breeding birds of Chongarskiye Islands. *Ornithology*, 13: 49-55.