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Abstract - Aurifodinae were open-pit gold mines of the Roman era which left behind them elongated heaps of rounded stones. They are located in lowland semi-natural landscapes, and can be seen as screes at a lower altitude and in a milder climate than typical mountain screes. We investigated the lichen biota of the aurifodinae remains in the upper Ticino river valley (western Po Plain, Northern Italy), in a small, discontinuous, 6.5 ha wide area. Metamorphic siliceous stones prevail, while calcareous stones are rare and scattered. We recorded 35 infrageneric taxa, including three species new to Piemonte: Cladonia conista, C. cryptochlorophaea, and Placidiopsis cinerascens. Several taxa are also new to the submediterranean ecoregion and/or to the Ticino river valley. The function of aurifodinae as a refugium for saxicolous lichens in the lowlands and their potential role in creating wide areas with open dry habitats in the past centuries are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

The value of archaeological heritage is perceived mainly from the cultural, historical, and artisical points of view. However, archaeological sites can also hold a scientific interest as biodiversity refugia (Vanderplank et al., 2014; Attum et al., 2022; Heneidy et al., 2022), as demonstrated by lichens from both anthropized situations (e.g. Nimis et al., 1987) and sites surrounded by semi-natural landscapes (e.g. Favero Longo et al., 2022).

In north-western Italy, a rare but peculiar archaeological relic of the Roman era are the gold mines called aurifodinae. These were open-pit mines developed on secondary gold deposits in fluvial terraces, in which gold was found in specks or dust, rather than in nuggets. To extract gold, water was channeled upstream and used to wash away the finer sediment, from which the gold specks were collected. After years, or even decades, at the end of this process, the coarser sediment of the terrace, consisting of pebbles and stones, remained in place forming massive and elongated stone heaps that in some cases lasted, free from vegetation, until present days (Pipino, 2006, 2015). Remarkable aurifodinae are those found in Piemonte: in the Bessa Natural Reserve (Province of Biella), near Ovada (Province of Alessandria) and in the upper Ticino river valley (Province of Novara) (Pipino, 2015).

Unlike many other archaeological remains, the aurifodinae are generally found within semi-natural landscapes, which implies that lichens had literally millennia to recolonize the environment after the exploitation of the sites ceased. Under an ecological standpoint, these aurifodinae can be seen as screes located at a lower elevation – and therefore in a milder climate – than typical mountain screes. Furthermore, the lowland context is much poorer of natural stony substrates than the landscapes found at higher altitudes, and therefore such environments are likely to provide an interesting substitution habitat for saxicolous lichens which cannot be found elsewhere at this elevation – especially for siliceous species, which are not able to colonize man-made basic substrates like mortar or concrete.
This research is a contribution to the study of the lichen biota of Italian *aurifodinae*. It also gives a further contribution to the knowledge of lichen diversity in the Ticino river valley, which has been continuously updated in the last thirty years (Valcuvia Passadore et al., 2002a, 2002b; Gheza, 2015, 2018; Gheza et al., 2018, 2019, 2022a).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area**

The study area is located in the “Ticino Piemontese” Natural Park (Province of Novara, Piemonte Region), a protected area established in 1978 that covers 6561 ha of the north-western side of the Ticino river valley south of Lake Maggiore and is now designated as a Special Area of Conservation (IT1150001 “Valle del Ticino”) included within the UNESCO MAB Biosphere Reserve “Ticino Val Grande Verbano”. The Ticino river valley is recognized as a focal area for biodiversity and an ecological corridor of paramount importance in the Po Plain (Bogliani et al., 2007).

The surveyed area is located on the western side of the upper Ticino river valley, in the municipalities of Pombia and Varallo Pombia. Here, at the top of the fluvial terrace bordering the lowest level of the river valley, some relics of ancient *aurifodinae* of the Roman period (II-I century b.C.) can still be found in the localities called “Campo dei Fiori” (45°39'26"N 8°39'55"E – 45°39'14"N 8°40'20"E, 215-223 m a.s.l.) and “Vallette di Pesorto” (45°40'03"N 8°40'03"E – 45°39'57"N 8°40'06"E, 220-218 m a.s.l.) (Pipino, 2006) (Fig. 1). The bioclimate is temperate continental (Rivas-Martínez et al. 2004), with a mean annual temperature of 13.1 °C and a total annual rainfall of 1190 mm.

In “Campo dei Fiori” the landscape of the *aurifodinae* is characterized by the still well-visible heaps of rounded stones that were left in place following the washout of the alluvial terraces for gold-mining. The shape of these heaps is mostly gently undulating (Fig. 2), but there are localized situations with more abrupt slopes, e.g. near the remains of wells, trenches, canals or dry walls. Such heaps can reach even 10 m of height. Stones are mostly siliceous, even if a scattered occurrence of calcareous material has been recorded. These stone heaps were likely more widespread and easily visible in the past, but to date most of the area has been colonized by a mixed woodland dominated by oaks (*Quercus robur* L. and *Q. petraea* (Matt.) Liebl.), chestnut (*Castanea sativa* Mill.), flowering ash (*Fraxinus ornus* L.) and Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.). However, open areas still occur, and stone heaps are currently extended on about 6.5 ha (Fig. 1). The exposed parts are dry and easily heated also in sunny days during the cold season, whereas at the edges, under the shading from the surrounding woodlands, cooler and wetter situations occur, also allowing a massive colonization by bryophytes.

In “Vallette di Pesorto” a few stone heaps are still detectable, although mostly covered by vegetation, whereas in the other localities indicated by Pipino (2006) the woodland has completely covered up the heaps; therefore, after having preliminary inspected these sites, we did not consider them in our lichen survey, which took place only in “Campo dei Fiori”.

![Fig. 1 - Location of the study area in Northwestern Italy (left) and orthophoto view of the *aurifodinae* in “Campo dei Fiori” (right).](image-url)
Fig. 2 - Wide-angle views of some stone heaps in “Campo dei Fiori”.

Visuali grandangolari di alcuni dei cumuli di pietre in località “Campo dei Fiori”.
**Sampling, identification and characterization of the lichen biota**

Lichens were sampled only in the peculiar environment of the *aurifodinae*, both directly on the stones and on the thin soil or moss layers developed between the stones in the most sheltered situations. All the specimens were identified in the laboratory by means of the keys provided in ITALIC 7.0 (Martellos et al., 2023; Nimis & Martellos, 2024). Specimens of *Cladonia, Lepraria* and *Stereoaulon* were checked by means of thin-layer chromatography (TLC) in solvents A, B and C, to investigate their metabolites (Elix, 2014). All the specimens are deposited in GG’s private herbarium.

The main features of the lichen biota were analyzed: (1) growth form; (2) photobiont type; (3) reproduction strategy; ecological indices related to (4) pH of substrates, (5) solar irradiation, (6) aridity/humidity, (7) eutrophication; (8) poleotolerance index; (9) altitudinal distribution in Italy; (10) rarity in the submediterranean belt of Italy. All information was retrieved from ITALIC 7.0. An unidentified *Acarospora* species was left out of these analyses.

Nomenclature follows ITALIC 7.0.

**RESULTS**

About 150 specimens were collected and identified, and 35 taxa were recorded (Tab. 1). Three are new to Piemonte, eight to the submediterranean ecoregion, 17 to the Ticino river valley (Tab. 1). Three species are listed in the Red List of the terricolic lichens of Italy (Gheza et al., 2022b): *Cladonia caespiticia* and *Cladonia cryptochlorophaea* as “near threatened”, *Cladonia conista* as “data deficient”. One species belonging to the genus *Acarospora* remained unidentified, since only sterile specimens were found.

The lichen biota of *aurifodinae* is mainly composed by crustose (n=18; 53%) and fruticose (n=12; 35%) species, whereas foliose (n=3; 9%) and leprose (n=1; 3%) species are less represented. All taxa have a green alga as photobiont: coccoid algae prevail (n=31; 91%), whereas a single species (3%) has a tretopholioid alga, and two species (6%) are cephalolichens. Most species reproduce by means of sexual spores (n=21; 62%), whereas fewer preferentially reproduce by means of vegetative propagules, i.e. soredia (n=11; 32%) or isidia (n=2; 6%).

The analysis of the ecological indices (Fig. 3) revealed that the taxa prefer subacidic to subneutral substrates, situations with moderate to high direct solar irradiation, intermediate humidity, and low eutrophication.

Most taxa have a broad elevational range, being however mainly centered in the submediterranean, montane, and subalpine belts (Fig. 3). About ⅔ of the taxa are rare in the submediterranean ecoregion, the rest including common, widespread taxa (Fig. 3). The index of poleophoby/poleotolerance shows that most species are typical of natural and semi-natural environments, with only a few species (n=7; 21%) able to tolerate a strong human impact (Fig. 3).

**DISCUSSION**

In the *aurifodinae* of “Campo dei Fiori”, the number of lichen taxa is not high, nonetheless it is relevant for a site located at a low altitude in the Po Plain, with many saxicolous species usually absent from lowland areas.

Overall, the lichen biota is composed of species related to moderately to highly irradiated situations, with intermediate needs for water, and mostly developed on poorly eutrophicated, subacidic to subneutral siliceous substrates. The absence of widespread nitrophytic saxicolous species which are common in the surrounding countryside (e.g. *Lecanora campestris* (Schaer.) Hue, *Lecidella stigmatia* (Ach.) Hertel & Leuckert, *Protoparmeliopsis muralis* (Schreb.) M. Choisy; pers. obs.), and the occurrence of several taxa sensitive to eutrophication, suggest that the site is not eutrophicated. This is probably related to a buffer effect provided by the woodlands surrounding the *aurifodinae*.

*Cladonia* was the most represented genus, including two species new to Piemonte. They occurred together with a widespread, morphologically similar species, *C. chlorophaea*, from which they can be distinguished with certainty only by the analysis of secondary chemistry. These findings highlight the importance of inventories carried out considering chemical data from a high number of specimens in such difficult groups, since morphologically similar specimens collected in the same site can easily belong to different species, as in this case. The two species new to Piemonte have recently been discovered also in some dry *Corynephorus*-grasslands located along the Ticino river near Pombia, not far from the *aurifodinae* (Gheza, unpubl. data). The only revision of the *Cladonia chlorophaea* complex *s. lat.* in Italy has been carried out almost forty years ago (Coassini Lokar et al., 1986), and several recent findings (e.g. Gheza et al., 2018; Ravera et al., 2022; this study) suggest that new investigations would be necessary to better clarify the distribution of this group in Italy.

Interesting findings are also related to material in the genus *Stereoaulon*, as in the case of the first record of *Stereoaulon vesuvianum* from Piemonte in the last 150 years, since Isocrono et al. (2004) only cited the historical records by Baglietto and Carestia (1867, 1880). Furthermore, this species had never been reported before from the submediterranean belt in Italy, being only known from upland areas. The occurrence of *Stereoaulon pileatum* is here confirmed in the Ticino river valley after the record by Valcuvia Passadore et al. (2002a, 2002b), who did not report a precise locality, but referred to a record from the Swiss side of Lake Maggiore, near Locarno (Ammann, 1971). This is therefore the first original record of the species from Italy after the 1940s (cf. the literature cited by Nimis, 1993, 2016).

The occurrence of two species usually bound to freshwater habitats, i.e. *Ionaspis lacustris* and *Verrucaria dolosa*, is surprising. The former is considered a proper aquatic species, whereas the latter was reported often from moist habitats, such as from the splash-zone of streams, but also from habitats less strictly related to water, e.g. woodlands (Nimis, 2016; Nimis & Martellos, 2024).
Tab. 1 - List of the recorded taxa with their substrates. Symbols are used to mark: * species new to Piemonte; # species new to the submediterranean ecoregion; ° species new to the Ticino river valley. Chemistry is reported only for those species whose secondary metabolites were checked by means of TLC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Metabolites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Acarospora sp. (only sterile specimens found)</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Candelariella vitellina (Hoffm.) Müll. Arg.</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Cladonia caespiticia (Pers.) Flörke</td>
<td>Soil, siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Cladonia chlorophaea (Sommerf.) Spreng.</td>
<td>Soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Cladonia coccifera (L.) Willd.</td>
<td>Soil, siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>Cladonia coniocraea (Flörke) Spreng.</td>
<td>Soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 *</td>
<td>Cladonia conista (Nyl.) Robbins</td>
<td>Soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 **</td>
<td>Cladonia cryptochlorophaea Asahina</td>
<td>Soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>Cladonia furcata (Huds.) Schrad. subsp. furcata</td>
<td>Soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cladonia macilenta Hoffm.</td>
<td>Soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Cladonia pyxidata (L.) Hoffm.</td>
<td>Soil, siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cladonia rei Schae.</td>
<td>Soil, siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 °</td>
<td>Diploschistes scapus (Schreb.) Norman</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 #°</td>
<td>Ionaspis lacustris (With.) Lutzoni</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 #°</td>
<td>Lecanora pannonica Szatala</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Lecidea fuscoatra (L.) Ach.</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 °</td>
<td>Lecidea grisella Flörke</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 °</td>
<td>Lecidea lithophila (Ach.) Ach.</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 #°</td>
<td>Lecidea obhuridata Nyl.</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Lepraria borealis Loht. &amp; Tønsberg</td>
<td>Bryophytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 #°</td>
<td>Placidiospis cinerascens (Nyl.) Breuss</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Rhizocarpon geographicum (L.) DC. subsp. geographicum s. lat.</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Rhizocarpon geographicum subsp. diabasicum (Rässänen) Poelt &amp; Vězda</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Rhizocarpon reductum Th.Fr.</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Rhizocarpon tetrasporum Runemark</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 #°</td>
<td>Rufoplaca arenaria (Pers.) Arup, Sochting &amp; Frödén</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 °</td>
<td>Stereocaulon pileatum Ach.</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Stereocaulon vesuvianum Pers. var. vesuvianum</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 #°</td>
<td>Trametes placodioides Coppins &amp; P. James</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 °</td>
<td>Verrucaria dolosa Hepp</td>
<td>Calcareous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 °</td>
<td>Verrucaria nigrescens Pers. f. nigrescens</td>
<td>Calcareous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Xanthoparmelia conspersa (Ach.) Hale</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Xanthoparmelia tinctina (Maheu &amp; A. Gillet) Hale</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 °</td>
<td>Xanthoparmelia verruculifera (Nyl.) O. Blanco, A. Crespo, Elix, D. Hawksw. &amp; Lumbsch</td>
<td>Siliceous stones</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 3 - Graphs of ecological and poleotolerance indices, altitudinal distribution and rarity of the lichen biota of the *aurifodinae* of “Campo dei Fiori”. / Grafici degli indici ecologici e di poleotolleranza, della distribuzione altitudinale e della rarità del biota lichenico delle *aurifodine* di “Campo dei Fiori”.
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The occurrence of several lichen species previously recorded in Italy only in upper altitudinal belts has been already highlighted from the Ticino river valley and other areas of the western Po Plain hosting open dry habitats along main rivers (Gheza, 2015, 2018, 2020). The role of the great rivers in the “dealpinization” of alpine taxa has been suggested to foster such events for vascular plants (e.g. Assini et al., 2013). However, this is not yet clear for lichens, which may have benefited of residual habitats to persist to the present days after the last glaciation. Under this perspective, the wide stone heaps of the aurifodinae can better be seen as refugia or substitution habitats for saxicolous lichens. These structures make available widely differentiated substrates fostering lichen diversity for at least three reasons: (1) stones have often a different origin, including magmatic and metamorphic rocks (Pipino, 2006), but also carbonate, and sedimentary rocks; (2) stones have also different sizes, ranging from about 10-15 cm to 60-70 cm (Pipino, 2006); (3) heaps morphology varies in exposure and shading, originating several microhabitats that can be colonized by species with well-differentiated ecological requirements. Furthermore, in spite of being superficially similar to the wide stone deposits in the riverbed, aurifodinae are not comparable to them: in fact, the latter are heterogeneous, stable and sheltered environments, whereas the riverbed is a flat and unstable location frequently disturbed by river dynamics.

Besides its relevance for saxicolous lichens, the man-made habitat of the aurifodinae is locally important also for terricolous lichens, and it was likely even more important in the past. Archaeological evidence suggests that aurifodinae had been widespread along the western side of the river valley, at least from Varallo Pombia down to Cameri (Pipino, 2006), although their remains are presently found only in a few places. The occurrence of such structures, and probably also of the mechanical disturbance related to their exploitation, is likely to have played a key role in maintaining for a long time wide extents of disturbed bare soil suitable for colonization by dry grassland and heathland vegetation (cf. Rahmonov & Oleš, 2010), that are the richest habitats for terricolous lichens in lowland landscapes (Gheza 2015, 2018; Gheza et al., 2019, 2020; Ravera et al. 2022).

The present study is a further example of how sites of archaeological and cultural interest can also represent valuable sites for lichen diversity (e.g. Nimis et al., 1987, 1992; Nascimbene & Salvadori, 2008; Favero Longo et al., 2022). Aurifodinae represent an archaeologically and culturally interesting evidence of a past human activity; unfortunately, this heritage is often neglected (Pipino, 2006, 2015), and no conservation measures are set to preserve what most people regard just as “piles of stones”. Consequently, vegetation is rapidly engulfing them. The impending disappearance of the aurifodinae remains will drag along itself the disappearance of some valuable lichen taxa, including species of conservation concern, if no contrasting measures will be taken. In general, making a compromise which allows the preservation of both archaeological and environmental components is required when managing archaeological heritage (Caneva et al., 2018; Cinicelli et al., 2018), and Favero-Longo et al. (2022) showed that raising awareness towards the relevance and the conservation needs of lichen biodiversity is successfully possible in such sites. However, in the case of the aurifodinae, awareness should be raised also for the archaeological object per se, and not only for the biodiversity it supports.
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